IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 94 of 1998
with
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.95 OF 1998

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

KADVABHAI BHUTHABHAI ANTALA

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 94 of 1998
MR TUSHAR MEHTA for Petitioner No. 1
GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent No. 1,4-6
MR MB GANDHI for Respondent No. 2
NOTICE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 19/02/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The petitioners in each of the civil revision application are the original plaintiffs. The petitioners have filed applications exh.5 which were rejected by the trial court. The appeals filed against the same are dismissed and the order passed by the trial Court are confirmed. The present revision applications are filed against the same.

2 Since both these revision applications are filed against interlocutory orders passed in the civil suits, these revision applications are not maintainable in view of the amendment in Section 115 of the Civil Procedure Code. Both these civil revision applications are disposed of as not maintainable. Rule is discharged in each of the revision applications. No order as to costs.

3 If the petitioners have any grievance against the impugned orders, it will be open for them to challenge the same by way of filing a writ petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

(P.B. Majmudar, J.)

*mohd